

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Criminal No.  
04-10075-PBS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JOHN CLARK, ET AL.

ORDER ON MOTION TO  
CONTINUE FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE  
AND  
ORDER ON EXCLUDABLE TIME

August 4, 2004

COHEN, M.J.

Pursuant to Rules 7.1(d) and (e) of the Local Rules of this Court [effective September 1, 1990], and upon review of the relevant pleadings, the defendant Ibarra's Assented To<sup>1</sup> Motion to Continue Status Conference (# 23) is allowed subject to the excludable time order set forth below. The Final Status Conference is continued to Tuesday, September 14, 2004, at 2:00 p.m. Counsel for the respective parties shall file a Joint Memorandum addressing the matters set forth in LR 166.5(C)(1) through (9) before the close of business, Friday, September 3, 2004.

This court finds and concludes, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8) and Section 6(b)(8) of the Plan for Prompt Disposition of Criminal Cases in

---

<sup>1</sup> The motion indicated that the motion was assented to by the attorney for the government. This court was later advised that counsel for defendant Clark assented to the allowance of that motion as well.

the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Statement of Time Limits Adopted by the Court and Procedures for Implementing Them, Effective July 1, 1980), that the interests of justice - *i.e.*, in this case, to provide the defendant Ibarra additional time to complete additional investigation, and to accommodate the schedule of counsel for defendant Ibarra who cannot attend the hearing scheduled for August 10, 2004 - outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant for a trial within seventy days of the return of an indictment.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8) and Section 6(b)(8) of the Plan for Prompt Disposition of Criminal Cases in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Statement of Time Limits Adopted by the Court and Procedures for Implementing Them, Effective July 1, 1980), the Clerk of this Court enter excludable time, commencing Tuesday, August 10, 2004, and concluding Tuesday, September 14, 2004, the date of the next conference as set forth above.<sup>2</sup>



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

---

<sup>2</sup> The parties are hereby advised that under the provisions of Rule 2(b) of the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, any party may move for reconsideration by a district judge of the determination(s) and order(s) set forth herein within ten (10) days after receipt of a copy of this order, unless a different time is prescribed by this court or the district judge. The party seeking reconsideration shall file with the Clerk of this Court, and serve upon all parties, a written notice of the motion which shall specifically designate the order or part thereof to be reconsidered and the basis for the objection thereto. The district judge, upon timely motion, shall reconsider the magistrate's order and set aside any portion thereof found to be clearly erroneous in fact or contrary to law. The parties are further advised that the United States Court of Appeals for this Circuit has indicated that failure to comply with this rule shall preclude further appellate review. See Keating v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 848 F.2d 271 (1st Cir. March 31, 1988); United States v. Emiliano Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986); Park Motor Mart, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 616 F.2d 603 (1st Cir. 1980); United States v. Vega, 678 F.2d 376, 378-379 (1st Cir. 1982); Scott v. Schweiker, 702 F.2d 13, 14 (1st Cir. 1983); see also, Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S.Ct. 466 (1985).